Adaptations of frogs to survive freezing
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ABSTRACT: Five species of frogs from North America survive extensive freezing of their body fluids to
temperatures as low as −8°C for periods lasting at least 2 wk. These frogs hibernate in leaf litter where
subzero temperatures commonly occur during the winter. The onset of freezing triggers liver
glycogenolysis and the production of high concentrations of glucose or glycerol (to 100 × normal) that
functions as a cryoprotectant against freezing injury. Concomitantly the release of the latent heat of
crystallization as body water freezes promotes the continued function of the cardiovascular system for
many hours and serves to distribute glucose throughout the body. The water content of major organs is
reduced by 50% or more during the first 24 h of freezing, with the water being relocated and frozen in
other body spaces. Organ dehydration functions to concentrate cryoprotectant and to reduce mechanical
damage by ice during freezing. As freezing progresses, breathing, heart beat, and most other vital
functions cease, but reanimation occurs within a few hours after thawing. The evolution of freeze tol-
erance in these animals illustrates the highly flexible capacities of frogs to adapt to stressful environ-
ments.
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INTRODUCTION

Frogs generally attain body temperatures that conform with their immediate surroundings. This feature
seemingly should prevent habitation of cold climates due to the risk of lethal freezing during winter; how-
ever, many species survive at mid and high latitudes because they are capable of living in frost-free hiber-
naculal e.g. bottom of ponds). Moreover, recent studies have revealed that at least 5 species from North Amer-
ica — Hyla chrysoscelis (Schmid 1986, Costanzo et al. 1992b), Hyla versicolor (Schmid 1982), Pseudacris cru-
cifer (Schmid 1982), Pseudacris triseriata (Storey & Storey 1987), and Rana sylvatica (Schmid 1982) — sur-
vive freezing of their internal body fluids to temperatures as low as −8°C in winter. This unique adaptation allows these species to hibernate beneath the surface of forest floor litter where exposure to subzero tempera-
tures is inevitable.

Freeze tolerance is an adaptive response that allows a species to cope with natural episodes of freezing of body
fluids. This tolerance implies the existence of both a definite risk of freezing in nature and biochemical and
physiological adaptations for coping with the formation of ice in body tissues. The first scientific demonstration
of freeze tolerance in vertebrates was offered only recently by Schmid (1982). Interestingly, previous investi-
gators studying the cryobiology of Rana sylvatica (Miller & Dehlinger 1969, Lotshaw 1977) and Pseudacris triseri-
ata (MacArthur & Dandy 1982) concluded that freeze tolerance was insufficiently developed in these species to
meet most challenges during winter. The conclusions of these investigators were based on experimental pro-
tocols that exceeded the natural capacities of these frogs to survive freezing.

THE HIBERNACULUM AND ITS MICROCLIMATE

Limited information exists about natural hibernacula used by freeze-tolerant frogs (Pinder et al. 1992). While
exploring the Canadian Arctic, Samuel Hearne (1911) found frozen frogs during the winter, which he
described as being solidly frozen but which promptly revived upon thawing near a campfire. The identity of
the species was not clearly indicated by Hearne, but Pseudacris triseriata and Rana sylvatica are the only
anurans inhabiting the region (61° N) that was
explored. Naturalist John Burroughs (1914) found an overwintering *R. sylvatica* resting under leaf litter in New York, USA, at the end of December. The frog still occupied this site 3 mo later despite its surroundings having undergone extensive freezing.

Schmid (1986) measured the temperature beneath leaf litter near hibernacula used by *Hyla versicolor* in Minnesota, USA. The hibernaculum temperature remained below -1°C during most of December and January and it reached a minimum temperature of only -7°C during this period. Freeze-thaw cycles likely occur in conjunction with fluctuations in weather and snow cover. Additional data are needed on hibernacula and their microclimates in order to understand better the conditions experienced by overwintering frogs.

**FREEZING OF INTERNAL BODY FLUIDS**

The onset of ice nucleation within body tissues is due to either inoculative freezing or spontaneous ice nucleation within the frog. Inoculative freezing is likely under natural conditions because frog skin in contact with external ice readily allows transcutaneous ice growth, causing the freezing of frogs when temperatures fall below the melting point of body fluids, ca -0.5°C (Layne et al. 1990, Layne 1991). This probably occurs frequently in nature because these frogs likely require moist hibernacula (Storey & Storey 1992).

Occasional drought may reduce the likelihood for inoculative freezing. In this situation overwintering frogs would freeze only when they cool below the supercooling limit of their body fluids. This temperature is uniformly high in all frogs (Schmid 1982, Storey & Storey 1986, Layne 1995a, b). Ice nucleation at high subzero temperatures presumably is beneficial because it allows the ice lattice to grow relatively slowly at these higher temperatures and consequently provides time for the frogs to activate fully their cryoprotective responses (see section ‘Cryoinjury and cryoprotection’ below). However, frogs are highly susceptible to dehydration when they occupy dry environments and they probably select hibernacula that remain moist throughout the winter.

The ice nucleating agent responsible for limiting the supercooling capacity of frogs has been the subject of several recent studies. *Rana sylvatica* possess a plasma factor, presumably proteinaceous, that triggers ice nucleation at temperatures near -8°C (Wolanczyk et al. 1990a, b, Storey et al. 1992a), whereas the digestive tracts of these frogs contain bacteria that can initiate freezing at temperatures ranging between -2 and -3°C (Lee et al. 1992). The close correspondence between the latter temperatures and the supercooling limit of frogs suggests that these bacteria are more likely triggers for the onset of spontaneous freezing than the aforementioned plasma agent. The supercooling limit of individual organs also lends support to the contention that bacteria regulate the supercooling potential of whole frogs (Layne 1995a). Spontaneous freezing of the entire frog should be triggered whenever its body temperature reaches the crystallization temperature of the organ or body fluid possessing the most potent ice nucleators. Among the many organs and body fluids comprising a frog’s body, only the intestine and skin have crystallization temperatures as high as a whole frog (ca -3°C). Moreover, both organs are host to large bacterial populations that potentially could induce ice crystal formation.

At relatively warm subzero temperatures ice preferentially forms in the extracellular compartment, with intracellular crystallization being a rare and generally lethal event (Mazur 1984). These conclusions are based on studies with human and mammalian tissues. Similar limitations are believed to exist for freeze-tolerant frogs (Storey & Storey 1992). Studies on liver slices from *Rana sylvatica* suggest that ice forms only in the extracellular compartment at ecologically relevant temperatures (Storey et al. 1992b). However, the fat body cells of the goldenrod gall fly *Eurosta solidaginis* freeze internally whenever ice forms in the body fluids of these freeze-tolerant insects (Lee et al. 1993). Since a similar situation could exist with some cells of freeze-tolerant frogs, further studies are needed to evaluate the distribution of ice between the extracellular and intracellular compartments.

Ice accumulates progressively in body fluids of frogs freezing at high subzero temperatures until an equilibrium is attained (Fig. 1; Layne & Lee 1987, 1989). The rate of ice formation is affected by many factors.
including body size and temperature. Surrounding insulation, including leaf litter and snow cover, greatly slows the rate of internal ice formation by conserving the latent heat of fusion and maintaining body temperature near its freezing point for many hours (Costanzo et al. 1991a). Final ice contents as high as 70% of the body water content can be tolerated by freeze-tolerant frogs (Costanzo et al. 1993a). Body tissues, however, have distinctly different capacities to accumulate ice, with the greatest amounts forming in peripheral tissues. For example, 17% more of the total tissue water freezes in the hind legs than in the body trunk at -2.5°C (Layne & Lee unpubl. data).

CRYOINJURY AND CRYOPROTECTION

Freezing of extracellular fluids disrupts many cell functions and causes death without proper protective measures. As ice forms extracellularly, solutes are excluded from the growing ice lattice and concentrated in the unfrozen solution (Mazur 1984). This hypertonic fluid osmotically draws water from cells in proportion to the amount of ice forming, thereby causing potentially lethal complications due to cell shrinkage, destabilization of cell membranes and proteins, and failure of cell metabolism and homeostasis.

Cryoprotectants are substances that eliminate the injurious effects of freezing. Many substances have this capacity, including polyhydric alcohols and low molecular weight sugars, especially when present in relatively high concentrations. Two cryoprotectants, glucose and glycerol, are known in freeze-tolerant frogs. During the autumn these frogs store large quantities of liver glycogen to serve as the source for their cryoprotectant (Storey & Storey 1992). Upon initiation of internal freezing, this polysaccharide is converted into glucose via glycolysis (Storey & Storey 1984, 1985a, Costanzo et al. 1993a). The magnitude of this response is substantially lower during the spring than during the fall or winter, owing to pronounced depletion of glycogen reserves following the emergence of frogs from hibernation (Storey & Storey 1987, Costanzo et al. 1993a, Layne 1995b). Indeed, optimally conditioned Rana sylvatica respond to the onset of freezing by increasing their blood glucose from 2-4 to 250 μmol ml⁻¹. All species but Hyla versicolor distribute large quantities of glucose to their body tissues, with the greatest amount going to major organs rather than to the skin and limb skeletal muscles (Storey & Storey 1984). H. versicolor is exceptional because it converts most of its glucose into glycerol for distribution to its body tissues (Storey & Storey 1985b).

The cryoprotective role of glucose and glycerol in freeze-tolerant frogs has been inferred from the massive mobilization of these substances in response to freezing. Glycerol is routinely used for cryopreservation and naturally by freeze-tolerant insects (Mazur 1984, Lee & Denlinger 1991); however, glucose is only modestly effective in the cryoprotection of mammalian tissues. Consequently several studies have directly tested the hypothesis that glucose functions as a cryoprotectant during freezing. In vitro studies of cardiac muscle strips (Canty et al. 1986) and red blood cells (Costanzo & Lee 1991) from Rana sylvatica demonstrated enhanced survival of freezing in the presence of large quantities of glucose. Another study found that lymphatic injections of exogenous glucose decreased hemolysis and neuromuscular injury in R. sylvatica during otherwise injurious rates of freezing (Costanzo et al. 1991b). Furthermore, the increased cryoinjury associated with rapid cooling was linked with decreased glucose levels in liver, heart, muscle, eye, and brain, which were presumably a consequence of insufficient time for glucose mobilization from liver glycogen and premature cessation of cardiovascular function due to rapid ice growth throughout the frog (Costanzo et al. 1992a). More recently, injections of exogenous glucose greatly extended the lower limit of freeze tolerance of R. sylvatica during the spring by counteracting their diminished capacity to mobilize glucose (Costanzo et al. 1993a).

Cryoprotectant likely functions in a multifaceted fashion (Mazur 1984, Storey & Storey 1992). Glucose reduces both the amount of cellular dehydration and the ice content of the vascular space in liver slices from Rana sylvatica (Storey et al. 1992b). Glucose loading reduces the total amount of ice forming at a specific freezing temperature (Costanzo et al. 1993a). This reduction is mostly associated with the effect of solute concentration on the final ice content of an aqueous solution. Using the theoretical relationship described by Claussen & Costanzo (1990), the predicted ice content of a 250 mOsm solution is less than the predicted ice content of a 500 mOsm solution, especially at higher subzero temperatures (Fig. 2A). Correspondingly, seasonal increases in glucose mobilization between the spring and fall proportionately reduce the total body ice content of frogs (Fig. 2B). There also appear to be differences in the potential of glucose to protect human versus frog red blood cells during freezing, which suggests fundamental differences between their cell membranes (Costanzo & Lee 1991). This is supported by the fact that the plasma membrane of R. sylvatica transports glucose more efficiently than does the plasma membrane of R. pipiens, a freeze-intolerant frog (King et al. 1993).

Another major mechanism of cryoprotection is the dehydration and relocation of substantial amounts of water from major organs to extravascular cavities and
PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES TO FREEZING

Freezing induces profound changes in the function of major physiological systems, including loss of the capacity to exchange and transport respiratory gases, increasing reliance on anaerobiosis to support ATP production, loss of water from the blood, loss of tissue compliance, loss of nutrient delivery, loss of internal homeostasis, and elevation of osmolality and electrolyte levels in unfrozen body fluids. These events often are interrelated consequences of freezing and the changing performance of systemic functions, so assigning causal relationships is not a simple task. Regardless, the end result is that the physiological functions of major body systems are arrested during freezes lasting more than a few hours.

The effect of freezing and thawing on the cardiovascular system is well documented (Lotshaw 1977, Layne et al. 1989, Layne & First 1991) and illustrates the challenges associated with internal freezing. Under laboratory conditions, as a frog cools to body temperature of -2 to -3°C, the heart rate slows to approximately 4 beats min⁻¹ (Fig. 3A, B). When spontaneous freezing commences, body temperature abruptly rises to the melting point; this temperature increase is accompanied by a sudden increase in heart rate. Both heart rate and body temperature remain elevated during the initial hours of freezing. The heart rate declines slowly during the next few hours in *Rana sylvatica* until arrest occurs nearly 24 h after the onset of freezing (Layne et al. 1989). Interestingly, freeze-tolerant and freeze-intolerant frog species differ very little in this overall sequence (Lotshaw 1977, Costanzo et al. 1993b), which further attests to the fact that freezing is not instantaneously lethal to most frog species. However, post-freeze recovery of the cardiovascular system following prolonged, extensive ice formation seemingly is unique to freeze-tolerant species (Layne et al. 1989, Layne & First 1991).

As respiratory gas exchange and delivery declines and ultimately fails, freeze-tolerant frogs sustain cellular energy demands anaerobically (Storey & Storey 1984, 1983b). The loss of systemic functions naturally reduces energy demands, but this reduction does not compensate for all the complications that arise from reliance on anaerobic glycolysis. Total energy charge consequently declines by much as 50% in *Rana sylvatica* during a 3 d freeze (Storey & Storey 1984).

Thawing causes body temperature to rise slowly and liquid water to return progressively to body fluids. The heart resumes beating during these initial hours of thawing (Fig. 3C, D). In *Rana sylvatica*, this happens as soon as 1 to 2 h after the onset of thawing, and normal heart rates are achieved within a few hours after the onset of thawing (Layne & First 1991). Other bodily func-
tions (breathing, excretion, nerve excitability, skeletal muscle contraction, and complex behaviors) slowly return during the ensuing hours (Layne & First 1991, Kling et al. 1994). The rate of return is highly dependent on the temperature and duration of a freezing bout and on the rapidity of the thaw. For example, skeletal muscle contractility returns to normal more slowly following a 3 d freeze than after a 1 d freeze (Layne 1992), and body systems are reanimated more slowly when the rate of thawing is decreased (Layne & First 1991).

THE ECOLOGY AND EVOLUTION OF FREEZE TOLERANCE IN FROGS

The ability of frogs to survive freezing in nature depends on the sufficiency of their tolerance limits to protect them from conditions in their hibernacula. Microclimate data are not sufficiently well known to permit accurate estimation of the frequency and duration of freezing bouts, especially given the substantial variations in weather that occur both annually and latitudinally. The current evidence suggests that freezing episodes are frequent and may be prolonged in some cases (Schmid 1906). Nevertheless, these frogs are often abundant in their respective natural communities so their tolerances are adequate to cope with stresses imposed by the winter environment.

Cell dehydration/rehydration, glycogenolysis/glycogenesis, and prolonged reliance on anaerobiosis are burdens on cellular functions that undoubtedly have energetic costs to freeze-tolerant frogs. Repeated freeze-thaw episodes deplete glucose reserves owing to persistent loss via renal excretion and greater utilization to rectify metabolic disturbances caused during freezing (Lee & Costanzo 1993). The latter is evidenced by elevated aerobic metabolism during the postfreeze recovery period (Layne unpubl. data). The alternative strategy, freeze avoidance (e.g. selection of hibernacula that do not experience subzero temperatures), also has energetic costs that are incurred during burrowing to considerable depths and prolonged exposure to higher environmental temperatures. Presently, sufficient data are not available to compare the energetic costs of freeze tolerance and freeze avoidance in overwintering anurans.
The evolution of freeze tolerance in frogs largely resulted from modification of phenotypic qualities involving their existing adaptations to cope with cold, dehydration, and hypoxia (Churchill & Storey 1993, Costanzo et al. 1993b). Some freeze-intolerant frogs endure freezing lasting several hours and their skeletal muscles recover from freezing bouts lasting as long as 3 d (Lotshaw 1977, Layne 1992, 1993); Moreover, freeze-intolerant frogs tolerate exogenous doses of glucose leading to hyperglycemia (50-fold or more above normal levels), although this does not measurably enhance their ability to survive extensive freezing (Costanzo et al. 1993b, Layne unpubl. data). The evolution of freeze tolerance obviously involves fundamental phenotypic modifications that go beyond a high capacity to store glycogen and the subsequent mobilization of glucose during freezing.

The phylogenetic relationships of the freeze-tolerant frogs suggest that freeze tolerance evolved on several occasions. One species, Rana sylvatica, is a member of the family Ranidae, whereas the other 4 species belong to the family Hylidae. These families are evolutionary separated by at least 60 million yr (Duellman & Trueb 1986). A considerable degree of phylogenetic separation also exists among most of the freeze-tolerant hylids. The genera Pseudacris and Hyla both include freeze-tolerant species but most members of these genera lack this adaptation. Because these genera experienced phylogenetic separation no less than 27 million yr ago (Hedges 1986), freeze tolerance most likely evolved separately in these genera rather than in a common freeze-tolerant ancestor. The freeze-tolerant hylids (H. chrysocelis and H. versicolor), however, are very closely related and share a common ancestor only 300,000 yr ago (Rain et al. 1983). The evolutionary origin of H. versicolor entailed a transition from diploidy to tetraploidy within the H. chrysocelis lineage. A major distinction exists in their cryobiology: the tetraploid is unique among anurans in using glycerol as a cryoprotectant. It has been speculated that the larger genome of H. versicolor has rendered it competitively superior to H. chrysocelis (Rain et al. 1983). Perhaps duplication of genetic information in the former species also fostered the evolution of its ability to use glycerol by allowing greater phenotypic flexibility in its biochemistry and cell biology.

The capacity to tolerate freezing probably is not restricted to just these 3 species in North America. Several related species with similar hibernation habits are found at mid-latitudes. Moreover, some frog species in Europe and Asia, especially close allies of Rana sylvatica, may have evolved freeze tolerance. Thus, freeze tolerance may occur commonly among frog species that must overwinter in terrestrial microhabitats subject to subzero temperatures.
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